Appendix R

Examples of Quality Assurance/Quality Control Analysis Checklists
These example checklists were used for QA/QC review of whole sediment toxicity tests and sediment chemistry analysis.

QA/QC Analysis Checklist for ACUTE AND CHRONIC WHOLE SEDIMENT TOXICITY TESTS

(10-day C. tentans and 10-day or 28-day H. azteca)

GRANT/IAG NUME	BER:	
PROJECT NAME: _		
REVIEWER:		
DATE:		
1. Did toxicity tests	employ appro	opriate procedures? [ASTM: E1367, E1611, E1706, USEPA (2000)]
	YES _	
	NO _	(UNACCEPTABLE)
2. Does sample stora	nge time exce	ed the allowable storage time specified in the QAPP?
		s Specified in QAPP
Number of S	torage Days I	Prior to Testing
	YES	(UNACCEPTABLE)
	NO _	
3. Was the age for H less than 2-days?	I. azteca orga	nisms between 7- to 14-days at the start of the test with an age range
•	YES _	
	NO _	(UNACCEPTABLE)
4A. Were all of the Cinstar?	C. tentans org	anisms second- to third-stage larvae with at least 50% at the third
	YES	
	NO _	(UNACCEPTABLE)
4B. How was the de	velopmental s	stage of the C. tentans larvae measured?
,, ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., .,		osule Width (See Table 10.2 of EPA/600/R-99/064, March 2000)
	Length	(Should fall between 4 mm to 6 mm)
	Weight_	(Should fall between 0.08 to 0.23 mg/individual)
5. Do flow rates throduring the test?	ough the diffe	erent test chambers differ by more than 10% at any particular time
C	YES _ NO	(UNACCEPTABLE)
6. Did Dissolved Ox	ygen remain	above 2.5 mg/L?
	YES	
	NO –	(Provide Explanation at end of Checklist)

7. Does daily mean T	•	e remain at $23 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C?
	YES	
	NO	(UNACCEPTABLE)
8. Does the instantane	eous Temp	perature remain at fluctuate less then $23 \pm 3^{\circ}$ C?
	YES	
	NO	(UNACCEPTABLE)
9. Do the Ranges of f Ranges:	or Hardnes	ss, Alkalinity, pH, and Ammonia fluctuate more than 50%?
DO		Alk
рН		Alk NH ₃
	YES NO	(UNACCEPTABLE)
10. Was the Ammoni	a concentr	ation greater than 20 mg/L?
	YES	(See EPA/600/R-99/064, March 2000 to determine if ammonia
	NO	contributed to toxicity of H. azteca.)
11. Was the Ammoni	a concentr	ation greater than 82 mg/L?
TI. Was the Timmon		union ground than 62 mg 2.
	YES	(See EPA/600/R-99/064, March 2000 to determine if ammonia contributed to toxicity of C. tentans)
	NO	
12. Was the Mean Co	ontrol Surv	ival in the <i>H. azteca</i> Control Sediments greater than or equal to 80%?
	YES	
	NO	(UNACCEPTABLE)
13. Was the Mean Co	ontrol Surv	ival in the <i>C. tentans</i> Control Sediments greater than or equal to 70%?
	YES	
	NO	(UNACCEPTABLE)
14. Was the mean we weight)?	ight per su	rviving C. tentans control organism greater than 0.48 mg (ash-free dry
	YES	
	NO	(UNACCEPTABLE)
15. Was the overlying	g water ren YES	ewed at a rate of 2 volumes per day?
	NO	(UNACCEPTABLE)
		/

Please provide details for all of the "UNACCEPTABLE" responses marked above. In e specific results that potentially may be affected by any QA/QC discrepancies, and	clude detail
nmendations regarding usability of data.	
	_
	_
	_
	_

QA/QC Analysis Checklist for SEDIMENT CHEMISTRY ANALYSIS

PF RI	RANT/IAG NUMBER:
1.	What sediment chemistry data has been collected (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)?
	Total Metals PCBs pH TOC Dioxins/Furans PAHs Pesticides DO AVS SEM Metals Particle Size Other
2.	Were the target detection limits met for each parameter?
	YES (UNACCEPTABLE)
3.	Were the Method Blanks less than the established MDL for each parameter?
	YES (UNACCEPTABLE)
4.	Did the results of Field Duplicate Analysis vary by less than the % RPD specified in the QAPP?
	YES (UNACCEPTABLE)
5.	Did the results of the Field Replicates Analysis vary by less than the % RPD specified in the QAPP?
	YES (UNACCEPTABLE)
6.	Did the surrogate spike recoveries and MS/MSD recoveries meet the limits set forth in the QAPP?
	YES (UNACCEPTABLE)
7.	Did the initial calibration verification standards meet the requirements set forth in the QAPP?
	YES (UNACCEPTABLE)
8.	Were any level of contaminants detected above the MDL for the trip blanks and storage blanks?
	YES (UNACCEPTABLE) NO

9. Did all required analysis take place within the required holding time protocols set forth in the QAPP?
YES (UNACCEPTABLE)
10. Did the laboratory duplicates vary by less than the % RPD specified in the QAPP?
YES (UNACCEPTABLE)
11. Are measured dry weight contaminant concentrations reported? (Note: Conversion from wet weight to dry weight concentration may occur ONLY if data on moisture or TOC are provided. Nominal concentrations are unacceptable.)
YES (UNACCEPTABLE)
12. Please provide details for all of the "UNACCEPTABLE" marked above. Include details on the specific analytes affected by any QA/QC discrepancies, and recommendations regarding usability of data.